



Education alongside research as the mission of a  
medical, dental, veterinary school

## ASPIRE RECOGNITION OF EXCELLENCE IN FACULTY DEVELOPMENT IN A MEDICAL, DENTAL, VETERINARY SCHOOL CRITERIA

### EXCELLENCE IN FACULTY DEVELOPMENT

Faculty development refers to all activities health professionals pursue to improve their knowledge, skills and behaviours as teachers and educators, leaders and managers, and researchers and scholars. (Steinert Y. Faculty Development in the Health Professions: A Focus on Research and Practice. NY: Springer, 2014.). An institution that has achieved excellence in faculty development prepares faculty members for their various academic roles with a breadth of faculty development programs, which are evaluated for impact and which contribute to the scholarship of faculty development.

An applicant school may have a single centralized program or a decentralized series of programs of faculty development to enhance teaching/education, leadership and scholarship. These may include programs for: new faculty orientation; guidance for career advancement and academic promotion; mentoring and advising of the faculty; faculty member skill development as teachers and educators, leaders and managers, and researchers and scholars; and retirement planning. Applicants will describe the total array of the school's faculty development programs in the application summary and will specify whether the application will describe the whole series of programs OR focus on the specific program that prepares teachers and educators, educational leaders and educational scholars. The program must include a focus on those who teach undergraduate students but may also include those who teach postgraduates and practicing clinicians. The school's designated program(s) will constitute "the program of faculty development" for the ASPIRE program application and be assessed using the criteria for excellence.

Cultural, social, fiscal and other issues may influence how faculty development is provided, which will vary from school to school. Excellence may be found in institutions with limited resources just as much as in wealthier institutions. The way in which institutions demonstrate cost effectiveness and context appropriateness will be taken into account by the panel when reviewing individual submissions.

**CRITERION 1: THE SCHOOL'S FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM HAS CLEAR GOALS THAT ARE ALIGNED WITH ORGANIZATIONAL PRIORITIES, IS SYSTEMATICALLY DESIGNED AND EVIDENCE-BASED, AND IMPROVES EDUCATIONAL PRACTICE, LEADERSHIP AND/OR SCHOLARSHIP.**

| <i>Sub-Criteria</i>                                      | <i>Examples of Evidence</i>   |
|--|---|
| 1.1. The faculty development program has clear goals, is | Narrative description of the program's faculty development program goals, how the program |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| aligned with school goals and priorities, and/or influences organizational culture.   | facilitates targeted priorities of the school and/or if not aligned with school priorities then how it works to improve the educational climate. Appropriate web links should be provided.   |
| 1.2. The program uses a systematic curriculum development model that is informed by a theoretical framework and best practices to design and implement faculty development offerings. | Narrative description of the curriculum development model, theoretical framework and evidence used to design faculty development offerings. Provide an example of how the model was applied to design and/or implement a faculty development offering. |
| 1.3. The program focuses on improving educational practice. Additionally, it could also improve leadership and/or scholarship.  | Narrative description of how the program addresses educational practice in classroom and clinical settings, leadership, and/or scholarship.  |

**CRITERION 2: THE FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM OFFERS BREADTH, DEPTH AND DIVERSE APPROACHES WITH LONGITUDINAL PROGRESSION OF LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES THAT CREATES A COMMUNITY OF PRACTICE.**

| <i>Sub-Criteria</i>  | <i>Examples of Evidence</i>  |
|--|--|
| 2.1. The program provides a wide variety of content and approaches with longitudinal progression of offerings that are targeted toward individual and organizational priorities. | List offerings provided by the school in the last five years using the table below. In a brief narrative following the table, describe how the faculty is defined and what the total size of the faculty is. Describe how each program type builds on or is related to the others, and how it enables individuals and organizations to meet their goals. Appropriate web links should be provided. |
| 2.2. The program is inclusive, accessible and actively engages a large number of faculty members.  | Provide a narrative description of how the program seeks to be welcoming, inclusive and accessible to all faculty members (e.g. regardless of background, financial support, location, etc.). Building on the data provided in 2a, describe trends in participation over the past 5 years.   |
| 2.3 The program creates a community of practice for faculty members and faculty developers, positively impacting the organizational climate.                                     | Provide a narrative description with examples of how the program creates a sense of community and a positive organizational climate, especially for teachers.  |

**CRITERION 3: THE FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM HAS SUFFICIENT RESOURCES TO ACHIEVE ITS MISSION, IS CONDUCTED BY FACULTY MEMBERS WITH EXPERTISE IN FACULTY DEVELOPMENT, AND BUILDS CAPACITY BY EXPANDING THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SKILLED IN OFFERING FACULTY DEVELOPMENT.**

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>3.1. The school encourages and supports faculty development by providing resources needed to achieve goals and sustain activity.</p>   | <p>Narrative description of how the school encourages participation in faculty development and supports the faculty development program. Describe the faculty development staffing and budget, including sources of revenue (e.g., school support, charges for services, grants, contracts, other), and adequacy of resources to achieve and sustain the mission of the program. Describe where the program and faculty development team fit within the school’s organizational structure and how this location enables the team to influence the organization. If there are other forms of support for faculty development, please describe.</p> |
| <p>3.2. Faculty developers possess the requisite expertise to provide exemplary faculty development and receive support for their own professional and scholarly development.</p> | <p>Narrative description of the recruitment, selection and preparation of faculty developers for their role and how they are supported by the school to advance their own scholarly and professional development, including keeping up-to-date with developments in the field.</p>  |
| <p>3.3. The school has systematic strategies for building capacity for a diverse group of future faculty developers (full and/or part-time).</p>                                  | <p>Narrative description with an example of how the school facilitates the building of capacity for future faculty developers, and how it promotes the engagement of developers from diverse backgrounds.</p>   |

**CRITERION 4: THE FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM ENGAGES IN ONGOING PROGRAM EVALUATION, AND EXAMINES IMPACT ON INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS AND, WHERE POSSIBLE, THE WIDER COMMUNITY.**

| Sub-criterion | Examples of evidence |
|---------------|----------------------|
|---------------|----------------------|

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>4.1. The program engages in on-going and systematic evaluation of the process and impact of faculty development.</p> | <p>Narrative description of the evaluation system, including types and frequency of data collected and synthesized, and an example of how findings are reported.</p> <p>Narrative description of the impact on individuals, programs, organizations, and where possible, the wider community (e.g., affiliated hospitals and clinics), with quantitative and qualitative data (e.g. student ratings of teaching and/or student performance assessment; recruitment of faculty members; achievements of students and faculty members; new faculty behaviours, roles or responsibilities; list of educational publications and presentations). You may place this list of publications and presentations in an appendix if it exceeds the word count for Criterion 4.</p> |
| <p>4.2 The program engages in reflective critique and quality improvement for faculty development.</p>                  | <p>Narrative description of how on-going program evaluation and review has been utilized to improve program performance in the past five years.</p>   |

**CRITERION 5: THE FACULTY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM PROMOTES EDUCATIONAL INNOVATION AND SCHOLARSHIP IN FACULTY DEVELOPMENT.**

| Sub-criterion  | Examples of evidence   |
|--|--|
| <p>5.1. The program promotes educational innovation in faculty development.</p>  | <p>Narrative description of educational innovations employed in faculty development during the past five years with reference to evaluation reports and/or publications describing innovations and associated scholarship (if available).</p>  |
| <p>5.2. The faculty developers (and where appropriate their learners) conduct research related to faculty development.</p> | <p>List of scholarly presentations and/or publications related to faculty development in the past five years. You may place this list in an appendix if it exceeds the word count for criterion 5.</p>   |
| <p>5.3. The faculty developers advance faculty development nationally and internationally.</p>                             | <p>List of awards, invitations to speak and consultations (e.g. to assist other institutions with faculty development) by faculty developers to advance faculty development and educational practices, leadership and scholarship locally, nationally and internationally in the past five years. You may place this list in an appendix if it exceeds the word count for criterion 5.</p> |